

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
10/636,154	08/07/2003	Sunny Wu	67,200-1141	1812
TUNG & ASSOCIATES Suite 120 838 W. Long Lake Road Bloomfield Hills, MI 48302			EXAMINER	
			KACKAR, RAM N	
			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
			1763	
SHORTENED STATUTOR	RY PERIOD OF RESPONSE	MAIL DATE	DELIVERY MODE	
3 MONTHS		01/10/2007	PAPER	

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period will apply and will expire 6 MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication.

Application No. App	plicant(s)
10/636,154 WU	ET AL.
Office Action Summary Examiner Art	Unit
Ram N. Kackar 176	3
The MAILING DATE of this communication appears on the cover sheet with the corres Period for Reply	spondence address
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY IS SET TO EXPIRE 3 MONTH(S) OF WHICHEVER IS LONGER, FROM THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION. - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.136(a). In no event, however, may a reply be timely file after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. - If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period will apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from the material to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute, cause the application to become ABANDONED (35 Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing date of this communication, even if timely filed, may rearned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	ed siling date of this communication. U.S.C. § 133).
Status	
1) Responsive to communication(s) filed on <u>21 November 2006</u> .	
2a)⊠ This action is FINAL . 2b)□ This action is non-final.	
3) Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecu	
closed in accordance with the practice under Ex parte Quayle, 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.	G. 213.
Disposition of Claims	
 4) Claim(s) 1-4,6,7,9,20-28 and 31-33 is/are pending in the application. 4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdrawn from consideration. 5) Claim(s) is/are allowed. 6) Claim(s) 1-4, 6-7, 9, 20-28 and 31-33 is/are rejected. 7) Claim(s) is/are objected to. 8) Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/or election requirement. 	
Application Papers	
 9) The specification is objected to by the Examiner. 10) The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a) accepted or b) objected to by the Exam Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 C Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correction is required if the drawing(s) is objected 11) The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner. Note the attached Office Actional Control of the Indian Co	CFR 1.85(a). I to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d).
	on or form P1O-152.
Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119	
 12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) of a) All b) Some * c) None of: 1. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received. 2. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No. 3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)). * See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received. 	o
Attachment(s) 1) ☑ Notice of References Cited (PTO-892) 4) ☐ Interview Summary (PTO-	
1) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892) 2) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) 3) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO/SB/08) Paper No(s)/Mail Date 4) Interview Summary (PTO-Paper No(s)/Mail Date Paper No(s)/Mail Date 5) Notice of Informal Patent Apper No(s)/Mail Date	·

Art Unit: 1763

DETAILED ACTION

Claim Objections

1. Claim 30 is objected to because of the following informalities: Claim 30 is missing as newly added claims are numbered 31-33. Appropriate correction is required.

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

- 2. The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:
 - (a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.
- 3. Claims 1-4, 6-7, 9, 20-28 and 31-33 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Dible et al (US 6239403) in view of Liu et al (US 2003/0038112).

Dible et al disclose a method of controlling the RF power to a multi-segmented electrodes incorporated in an electrostatic chuck (Fig 2 and 5 and Col 2 lines 10-20) with a single RF power supply which delivers individually controlled power to different concentric electrode zones by controlling variable capacitors so as to have a localized control of plasma density in response to installed sensors (Abstract, Col 1 lines 7-14, Col 3 lines 32-47, Col 4 lines 19-25, Col 5 lines 37-58 and Col 6 lines 13-22) in order to have uniform processing (Abstract). Dible teaches impedance matching when applying an RF power (Col 1 lines 41-49) and teaches specific matching requirement for this method (Col 5 lines 16-36). Further, Dible et al teach dual frequency generation of RF power (Col 1 lines 16-35).

Art Unit: 1763

Dible does not specifically disclose sensing spatial plasma density in order to control individual power to electrode segments.

Liu et al disclose a method of controlling the RF power to a multi-segmented electrode in a plasma chamber (Fig 1,2A, 2B and 2C) with independent RF power supplies delivering individually controlled power to different concentric electrode zones, so as to have a localized control of plasma density in response to installed sensors (Abstract and Paragraphs 5-7, 27, 40, 42 and 81). Liu et al teach impedance matching when applying an RF power (Paragraph 7) and disclose uniformity of processing (Paragraph 15, 42, 68) and plasma uniformity (Paragraph 84).

Therefore it would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art at the time of invention to incorporate plasma sensors in the apparatus of Dible et al for process and plasma uniformity or incorporate electrostatic chuck in the apparatus of Liu et al as conventional method of substrate chucking.

4. Claim 20 is also rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Dible et al (US 6239403) in view of Liu et al (US 2003/0038112) as applied to claims1-4, 6-7, 9, 21-28, 31-33 and further in view of Strang (US 6642661).

Dible et al teach dual frequency generation of RF power (Col 1 lines 16-35).

Strange discloses dual frequency system where one frequency is applied to lower electrode and second frequency is applied to upper electrode.

Therefore having dual frequency system as per the teaching of Strange for its specific advantage would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art at the time of invention.

Art Unit: 1763

Response to Arguments

Applicant's arguments filed 11/21/2006 have been fully considered but they are not persuasive in view of new grounds of rejection.

Conclusion

Applicant's amendment necessitated the new ground(s) of rejection presented in this Office action. Accordingly, **THIS ACTION IS MADE FINAL**. See MPEP § 706.07(a). Applicant is reminded of the extension of time policy as set forth in 37 CFR 1.136(a).

A shortened statutory period for reply to this final action is set to expire THREE MONTHS from the mailing date of this action. In the event a first reply is filed within TWO MONTHS of the mailing date of this final action and the advisory action is not mailed until after the end of the THREE-MONTH shortened statutory period, then the shortened statutory period will expire on the date the advisory action is mailed, and any extension fee pursuant to 37 CFR 1.136(a) will be calculated from the mailing date of the advisory action. In no event, however, will the statutory period for reply expire later than SIX MONTHS from the date of this final action.

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Ram N. Kackar whose telephone number is 571 272 1436. The examiner can normally be reached on M-F 8:00 A.M to 5:P.M.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Parviz Hassanzadeh can be reached on 571 272 1435. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 571-273-8300.

Art Unit: 1763

Page 5

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free). If you would like assistance from a USPTO Customer Service Representative or access to the automated information system, call 800-786-9199 (IN USA OR CANADA) or 571-272-1000.

Ram Kackar

Primary Examiner AU 1763